



World Meteorological Organization



## **ASSOCIATED PROGRAMME ON FLOOD MANAGEMENT**

# **FINAL REPORT**



**ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**AND**

**MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**

(Geneva, 3 – 4 June 2010)



The Associated Programme on Flood Management (APFM) is a joint initiative of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and the Global Water Partnership (GWP). It promotes the concept of Integrated Flood Management (IFM) as a new approach to flood management. The programme is financially supported by the Governments of Japan and Switzerland.



The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) is a specialized agency of the United Nations. It coordinates the activities of the meteorological and hydrological services of 189 countries and territories and such is the centre of knowledge about weather, climate and water.



The Global Water Partnership (GWP) is an international network open to all organizations involved in water resources management. It was created in 1996 to foster Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM).

---



## ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

(Geneva, 3 – 4 June 2010)

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>PART I</b>	<b>ADVISORY COMMITTEE .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.	OPENING .....	1
2.	REVIEW OF THE APFM PHASE II ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR 2009-2010.....	1
2.1	IFM Concept Paper.....	1
2.2	IFM Tools.....	2
2.3	National and Regional Support Activities .....	2
2.4	Capacity Building.....	3
2.5	Database and Dissemination of Information .....	3
2.6	Linkage to Other Activities .....	3
3.	ACTIVITY PLAN FOR 2010-2011 .....	4
3.1	IFM Tools.....	4
3.2	Support to National and Regional Activities.....	4
3.3	Capacity Development .....	5
3.4	IFM HelpDesk.....	5
4.	CONSTITUTION OF ADVISORY AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE .....	6
<b>PART II</b>	<b>MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE .....</b>	<b>8</b>
5.	FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FOR THE YEAR 2009-2010.....	8
6.	ACTIVITY AND BUDGET PLAN FOR THE YEAR 2010-2011 .....	8
7.	ANY OTHER BUSINESS.....	8
8.	CLOSURE OF THE MEETING.....	8
<b>ANNEXES .....</b>		<b>9</b>
<i>ANNEX I</i>	<i>LIST OF PARTICIPANTS</i>	
<i>ANNEX II</i>	<i>AGENDA FOR THE APFM ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING</i>	
<i>ANNEX III</i>	<i>PERFORMANCE INDICATOR</i>	
<i>ANNEX IV</i>	<i>FINANCIAL STATEMENT AS OF 31 MARCH 2010</i>	
<i>ANNEX V</i>	<i>BUDGET PLAN AND BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2010 TO 2011</i>	





## **PART I      ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

### **1.      OPENING**

The Advisory Committee meeting of the Associated Programme on Flood Management (APFM) was held on Thursday 3 and Friday 4 June 2010 at the Secretariat of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) in Geneva, Switzerland. Mr Avinash C. Tyagi, Director, Climate and Water Department welcomed the participants on behalf of WMO. He also formally introduced Mr Wolfgang Grabs as the Head of the TSU effectively since mid-2009. Ms Caroline Wittwer was introduced as a member of the Advisory Committee, replacing Mr. Jan Kubat. The meeting was subsequently chaired by Mr. Torkil Jønch-Clausen. After self-introduction, the agenda was slightly modified (starting at 8:30 on 4 June to insert Management Committee session from 11:00-12:00 in the morning on 4 June) and was adopted by the members.

Participants at the meeting included the members of the Advisory Committee, Technical Support Unit (TSU) of APFM and staff of the Hydrology and Water Resources Branch of WMO. The list of participants is provided in Annex I. The agenda adopted at the meeting is given in Annex II. The APFM activities for the year 2009-2010 were reviewed by referring the performance indicators shown in Annex III.

### **2.      REVIEW OF THE APFM PHASE II ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR 2009-2010**

#### **2.1      IFM Concept Paper**

The Committee was informed that the IFM Concept paper, which was revised in the 3<sup>rd</sup> version including a number of emerging issues which were required to be treated in more detail. The emerging issues, such as risk management, urbanization, climate variability and change, and adaptive management, were incorporated based on the feedbacks from various APFM experiences related to the concept. After the review by wider experts including the Committee and approval of the Committee members, the 3<sup>rd</sup> edition of IFM Concept paper was issued in 2009 in English, Spanish and French. It was recalled that APFM had initially focused its activities on riverine floods, but major coastal areas affected by riverine and coastal floods could not be ignored at the basin-level. Therefore, coastal issues will be taken into consideration in demonstration projects, such as in Bangladesh and Caribbean Island. The Committee members raised the necessity on wider translation of the Concept Paper, especially in Chinese. Since the translation works highly depends on the counterparts' collaboration in each language, GWP will kindly offer to facilitate the communication with GWP-China for the translation in Chinese.



## **2.2 IFM Tools**

The Advisory Committee was presented with the list of IFM tools planned to be prepared in APFM Phase II and particularly those planned to be taken up during the past year. The committee members were satisfied with developed tools. Three tools were developed in 2009-2010: IFM as an adaptation tool for climate change: case studies, flood emergency management and management of sediment related risks. Other three tools are in the process of development: River restoration and wetland conservation, Transboundary aspects, and Guidelines on flood mapping. The objectives and outlines of the tools developed during 2009-2010, and the tools which are proposed to be developed in 2010-2011 were explained.

*Climate change Case Studies:* The Committee was informed that case studies were combined to the related tool of the previous reporting period. The Committee raised the issue of geographical distribution in the case studies, which are currently focusing on developed countries. Therefore, cases in developing countries will be incorporated in the long run.

*River restoration and wetland conservation:* GWP will circulate the first draft, when available, to WWF and IUCN.

The Committee expressed satisfaction with the quality of the tools developed so far, with a link to be made to the revised IFM Concept paper. The Committee reconfirmed the concept of “living documents” for the tools with appropriate disclaimers that no formal process is applied for academic peer review. Though they are living documents on the website, no significant feedback has been received so far, and hence no updating has undertaken up to now. The Committee also raised the inter-linkage among developed tools, though some tools have already referred to the tools published earlier to establish cross-linkages. For more visibility, the Committee suggested the update of existing tools, especially the most downloaded ones within 2-3 years. According to the data shown in the annual report, the tool for urban flood management has been the most downloaded. Since urbanization will be the main theme of the next World Water Week in Stockholm, 2011, its update incorporating the other tools can be launched during that occasion. The Committee was informed about the difficulties in establishing operational contacts with users. The Committee pointed towards the necessity of developing a web-based guidance document for the available tools. TSU confirmed that an introductory “tool of tools” which may also indicate in an updated statistics part the number of established contacts with users of the tools will be placed on the APFM webpage before the next session of the AC/MC in 2011.

## **2.3 National and Regional Support Activities**

The Committee was informed that national and regional support activities were categorized as technical support to help initiating and promoting IFM, demonstration projects, and continued support for the projects undertaken in APFM phase I and II. The Committee was informed that the activities, being demand driven, were mainly in Africa, so it would be necessary to diversify the regions. GWP offered the coordination with



Country Water Partnership (CWP) to sustain the projects. Therefore the Committee agreed that information on APFM activities should be sent to CWPs whose contact names would be made available by GWP Secretariat after 16 June 2010.

## **2.4 Capacity Building**

The Committee was informed of the capacity building activities undertaken during the year through compilation of training material, development of education material for educators and children and extended vocational training in partnership with various organizations, including Cap-Net, Project-WET, Technical University of Hamburg-Harburg (TUHH), UN-Water, and JICA. The GWP member noted that the IFM Capacity Building Programme in Malaysia held in August 2009 was implemented through the GWP Malaysia. The Committee was informed that the linkage established with Cap-Net was especially substantive and encouraged the TSU to further build on the synergies between the two programmes.

The Committee expressed its appreciation for the efforts undertaken to upscale the capacity building outreach and emphasized the monitoring of these activities. The Committee noted that efforts would be undertaken by TSU to establish a database of past trainees and distributing the APFM newsletter to them.

## **2.5 Database and Dissemination of Information**

The Committee was informed on the development of web-traffic on the [www.apfm.info](http://www.apfm.info) domain and identified that the increase in numbers may be attributed to the newly established domain ([www.FloodManagement.info](http://www.FloodManagement.info)). The Committee understood the difficulties linked to analyze web access; however, in view of the importance of such analysis, the Committee proposed to include in the downloads a pop-up inquiry on voluntary base, to encourage users to provide information on their job category and purpose of access to the downloadable materials

## **2.6 Linkage to Other Activities**

GWP expressed its role in generating required linkages in support of country and regional activities and offered its support in linking the HelpDesk to the financial partners. The Programme was encouraged to strengthen its linkages with the regional water knowledge hubs in the Asia Pacific, established through the Asia Pacific Water Forum. The GWP member made a presentation about knowledge hubs in Asia and the Pacific, noting that there are some gaps to be bridged between climate change adaptation and the water sector. In relation to this issue, GWP would seek a strengthening of knowledge mapping in its knowledge hubs. The Committee emphasized the need to closer link APFM activities to activities related to climate variability and change as this is an area of mainstream concern and resource availability that could potentially be tapped in support of APFM activities.



The Committee recalled that ICHARM is the knowledge hub on risk management and activity in a variety of activities that are of concern to the APFM. The Committee was informed that APFM was feeding into the activities of International Flood Initiative (IFI) being the focal point for activities related to Technical Assistance. In order to streamline the concept of IFM into IFI activities, APFM is collaborating with ICHARM which serves as Secretariat of IFI.

### **3. ACTIVITY PLAN FOR 2010-2011**

#### **3.1 IFM Tools**

Members of the Committee reaffirmed their support to the development of following tools, during the fiscal period 2010-2011 and emphasised the importance of an update process on a priority base as indicated above. The Committee affirmed that the following tools should be developed and finalized within the interim reporting time until the next session of the AC/MC:

- Flood proofing
- River restoration and wetland conservation
- Transboundary aspects
- Guidelines on flood mapping
- Flash Floods

The Committee noted that the development of the tool, “flood forecasting and warning systems”, which was proposed last year, needed to make use of synergies with other ongoing WMO activities. The President of the CHy suggested that the new materials developed by Australia would be incorporated in the Flood Proofing tool. The Committee suggested for the tool on “river restoration and wetlands conservation” to make use of RAMSAR’s work on the topic together with relevant materials of the IUCN and WWF, and should include some examples in South and Central America. As for “transboundary aspects”, close collaboration would be sought with UNECE, as well as with ongoing activities in the Mekong and Zambezi Basins. As for “Guidelines on flood mapping”, the Committee was informed that the work would be completed in December 2010.

#### **3.2 Support to National and Regional Activities**

The national and regional activities identified for the budget period 2010-2011 were presented to the Committee. While recognising the need to assist countries, it was recalled that resource constraints would necessitate the raising of additional third party funds for such activities. The Committee further noted that efforts should be strengthened to link ongoing national activities of the GWP in sending out APFM materials to GWP partners.





### 3.3 Capacity Development

The approach taken in developing training materials for different target groups was endorsed by the Committee, as was the decision to optimize their impacts through partnerships. Collaborative efforts through Hydrological Advisors of each country was strongly endorsed by the Committee as a way to maximize impact and optimize resource allocation by different actors engaged in capacity building activities in the water and related sectors. The Committee was satisfied with the current collaboration with several partners, including CapNet, TUHH, and suggested further networking through GWP and Japan (Asia Pacific Water Forum) would be sought for possible linkage with climate change adaptation. The Committee was informed that the planned training course in Uganda would aim to the development of an IFM strategy.

### 3.4 IFM HelpDesk

The outline and current status of IFM HelpDesk, and especially the function areas “Help Yourself” and “Get Help”, was demonstrated to the Committee. The IFM HelpDesk was launched on 17 June 2009 during the Global Platform on Disaster Risk Reduction, with full operational capabilities since early 2010. The Committee was informed that even if in future there was no extra-budgetary fund available, the IFM HelpDesk in its core function would be continued. It is a top priority to present to potential donors the functions of the HelpDesk. The Commission recognized that efforts need to be undertaken to increase the requests especially in the “Get Help” function of the HelpDesk. The active engagement of Support Base Partners would be essential in support of these activities. The Committee raised the following issues by in-depth discussions:

- Though the total number of access to the APFM website increased according to the data shown in the annual report, the analysis on the quality of such access would be more important. The Committee supported the idea that access analysis would be performed by posing short optional questionnaire for users’ profile.
- The reported activities in capacity building were reflected also in those reported for the IFM HelpDesk, with some exceptions. The requests for capacity building activities mostly came from various sources of communication of WMO activities outside the HelpDesk, or through direct contact with members of the TSU. During the past training workshops, the HelpDesk has been promoted. Some requests in HelpDesk (Sudan, Nigeria) came through such process. However, a couple of activities were unfortunately rejected because they did not match the purpose of the HelpDesk.
- Current inputs from the Support Base Partners of HelpDesk and active and frequent dissemination of information about the HelpDesk: Because of small number of requests, there have been very few inputs from the Partner except FOEN’s contribution in Mauritania and Turin, and UNESCO-IHE for the Nairobi workshop. While most of the dissemination highly depends on the internet, the promotion of APFM and the HelpDesk through brochures is also important. Since 2011 will be the 10<sup>th</sup> anniversary of APFM, a brief flyer or brochure should be prepared for the dissemination. GWP offered the GWP Malaysia for one of such opportunities. It would be noted that a website was not



sufficient in light of weak internet connections in certain countries, so it was important to build a communication and outreach strategy both through the internet and other means of communication.

- The Support Base Partners are keys to the success of the HelpDesk (Get Help), and could be a good way to disseminate and promote the HelpDesk. This also helps increase the activity funds which are for the HelpDesk operation. The Committee urged Support Base Partners to link their home pages to the HelpDesk.

The Committee raised the visibility issue of the HelpDesk, especially in Asia and the Pacific. Along with the existing channel of WMO, institutional collaboration for further advocacy should be explored with Asian Development Bank and national water partnerships of GWP. The Committee also suggested further opportunities for advocacy would be made such as COP 16 or World Water Week in Stockholm. Global Framework on Climate Service, which recognized the HelpDesk as a strong interface, might also work to open communication and support channel. Focusing on specific countries, such as China, is another option.

The Committee was informed that a one day or 1 ½ day workshop of Support Base Partners was planned in 2010 (October/November 2010) to keep the network of Support Base Partners active and to, discuss suitable approaches to promote HelpDesk activities and seek support from a more diversified donor support base.. Despite the support received from Spain, Italy and the US that are mostly project oriented, it is essential to seek other donors (Development Banks or National Governments, both for general sponsorship or for ad-hoc projects/activities). GWP member also noted that a new project on Integrated Drought Management may be discussed on that occasion.

The Committee also raised the relationship with universities in the support base. Though there are both risks and opportunities of involving universities, the universities would remain part of the target group under the HelpDesk as they mediate in disseminating to the institutional level the IFM concept. The Committee also recognized the necessity to attempt a regional diversification of Support Base Partners that could be undertaken in collaboration with regional Water Partnerships.

#### **4. CONSTITUTION OF ADVISORY AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**

The Committee was informed that the current constitution of AC/MC which was decided in 2003 should be updated according to the newly launched IFM HelpDesk. The framework document for the establishment of a HelpDesk, which has already been agreed among around 20 Support Base Partners, designated that the HelpDesk was governed through the AC/MC of APFM, while AC member comprised the Head of the Technical Support Unit of the APFM, two representatives of the WMO Commission for Hydrology, one representative of GWP and representatives of all Support Base Partners. The Committee voiced concern



whether the committee would be manageable once all Support Base Partners participated in the AC meeting. However, as the framework has been already signed among the Partners, the Committee agreed to the following procedure: .

- 1) The current function of AC will be taken up by the Management Committee. The Advisory Committee would shift focus to be a more technical oriented, forum-style workshop.
- 2) . At the next Advisory Committee Meeting, the revision of the framework document would be proposed to finalize the Governance document of the APFM.

This requires changes in the function of the Management Committee. Members of Management Committee accepted this change, so the working arrangements of the Advisory Committee will be discussed at the workshop of Support Base Partners which is foreseen to be held in 2010.



## **PART II MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**

The Management Committee meeting was held on Friday 4 June 2010. The meeting was chaired by Mr. Torkil Jønch-Clausen.

### **5. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FOR THE YEAR 2009-2010**

The Committee was presented with the financial statement providing the income and expenditure for the year 2009-2010. Governments of Japan and Switzerland expressed their satisfaction with the financial performance and the outcomes of the activities undertaken with the funds provided. The Committee approved the financial statement for the year 2009-2010, as given in Annex IV.

### **6. ACTIVITY AND BUDGET PLAN FOR THE YEAR 2010-2011**

The Committee was presented with the Budget Plan of the activities for the year 2010-2011. Japan and Switzerland confirmed their contribution of 20,000,000 JPY and 100,000 CHF respectively. The proposed activity plan for 2010-2011 was modified by shifting 11,700 CHF from several activities to the cost of the APFM consultant seconded by Japan, and reducing 30,000 CHF from several activities as a result of change in the contribution that can be made available from Switzerland. The Committee approved the modified APFM Budget Plan and Activity Plan as a result of the above discussions and suggestions. The APFM budget plan for 2010-2011, revised on the basis of above recommendations is provided in Annex V. The Committee expressed its satisfaction for the efforts being made to find additional financial partners.

### **7. ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

The Committee was informed on the departure of Mr Masahiko Murase, seconded expert from Japan. The Committee expressed its high appreciation of the work and efforts of Mr Murase during his time of duty as a team member of the APFM-TSU and especially lauded his professional inputs that greatly contributed to the success of the APFM and including HelpDesk activities. The Committee wished Mr Murase all possible success in his future professional career.

### **8. CLOSURE OF THE MEETING**

The meeting adjourned on Friday 4<sup>th</sup> June at 03:00 p.m.



## ANNEXES

### ANNEX I

#### **APFM ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND APFM MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (GENEVA, 3 - 4 JUNE 2010)**

#### LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

##### Advisory Committee and Management Committee

Mr Torkil Jønch-Clausen (Chair)  
Director, DHI Water and Environment  
Agm Alle 11  
D-2970 HORSHOLM  
Denmark

(Tel: +45 45 16 92 15)  
(Fax: +45 45 16 92 92)  
(E-mail: [tjc@dhi.dk](mailto:tjc@dhi.dk))  
([torkilj@hotmail.com](mailto:torkilj@hotmail.com))

Mr Eiji Otsuki  
Director of International Water Management  
Coordination, River Bureau, Ministry of Land,  
Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT)  
2-1-3 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku  
TOKYO 100 8918  
Japan

(Tel: +81 3 5253 8444)  
(Fax: +81 3 5253 1602)  
(Email: [ootsuki-e2jw@mlit.go.jp](mailto:ootsuki-e2jw@mlit.go.jp))

Mr Tomoyuki Okada  
River Bureau, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure,  
Transport and Tourism (MLIT)  
2-1-3 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku  
TOKYO 100 8918  
Japan

(Tel: +81 3 5253 8444)  
(Fax: +81 3 5253 1602)  
(Email: [okada-t2vd@mlit.go.jp](mailto:okada-t2vd@mlit.go.jp))

Mr Olivier Overney  
Head of section, Flood Protection Section,  
Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN)  
Abteilung Gefahrenprävention,  
CH-3003, Bern  
Switzerland

(Tel: +41 31 324 87 08)  
(Fax: +41 31 324 1910)  
(Email: [olivier.overney@bafu.admin.ch](mailto:olivier.overney@bafu.admin.ch))

Mr Manabu Nakamura  
Water Resources Policy Group  
Japan Institute of Construction Engineering (JICE)  
Nissay Toranomom Bldg.,  
3-12-1, Toranomom,  
Minato-ku  
TOKYO, 105-0001  
Japan

(Tel: +81-3-4519-5001)  
(Fax: +81-3-4519-5011)  
(Email: [m.nakamura@jice.or.jp](mailto:m.nakamura@jice.or.jp))



### **Advisory Committee**

Ms Ania Grobicki  
Executive Secretary, GWP Secretariat  
Drottninggatan 33,  
SF – 11151 Stockholm  
Sweden

(Tel: +46 8 522 126 50)  
(Fax: +46 8 522 126 31)  
(E-mail:  
[ania.grobicki@gwpforum.org](mailto:ania.grobicki@gwpforum.org) )

Ms Caroline Wittwer  
Service Central d'Hydrometeorologie et d'Appui à la  
Prévision des Inondations (SCHAPI)  
42, avenue Gaspard Coriolis  
31057 Toulouse Cedex 01  
France

(Tel: +33 534 63 85 75)  
(Fax: +33 534 63 85 78)  
(E-mail:  
[caroline.wittwer@developpement-durable.gouv.fr](mailto:caroline.wittwer@developpement-durable.gouv.fr))

Mr Bruce Stewart  
Assistant Director, Water Division  
Bureau of Meteorology  
GPO Box 1289K  
MELBOURNE VIC 3001  
Australia

(Tel: +61 3 9669 4889)  
(Fax: +61 3 9669 4075)  
(E-mail: [b.stewart@bom.gov.au](mailto:b.stewart@bom.gov.au))

### **Technical Support Unit of APFM**

Mr Avinash Tyagi

Mr Wolfgang Grabs

Mr Masahiko Murase

Mr Giacomo Teruggi

Mr Firas Al-Janabi

Mr Gabriel Arduino

Ms Nga Eichrodt

### **Other Participants from HWR Branch**

Mr Tommaso Abrate

Mr Claudio Caponi

Mr Datius Rutashobya

Mr Mohamed Tawfik

**ANNEX II**

## ASSOCIATED PROGRAMME FOR FLOOD MANAGEMENT

**TENTATIVE AGENDA FOR THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING****3-4 JUNE 2010****Room 6 Jura**

WMO Secretariat, Geneva

**7 bis, avenue de la Paix, Case postale No. 2300****CH-1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland****3 June 2010**

14:00-14:15	Welcome, self introduction and adoption of agenda
14:15-16:00	Review of the APFM activities of the year 2009/2010
16:00-17:00	APFM activities beyond Phase II <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IFM Tools</li> <li>• Capacity building</li> <li>• Inter relationship with other programmes and initiatives</li> </ul>
17:00-17:30	APFM activities of the year 2010/2011
17:30-18:30	Cocktail at WMO Restaurant

**4 June 2010**

9:00-12:00	APFM activities beyond Phase II <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Help Desk</li> </ul> Structure of Advisory Committee and Management Committee Any other items with the permission of the Chair
------------	---

**TENTATIVE AGENDA FOR THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING****4 JUNE 2010**

Room 6 Jura

WMO Secretariat, Geneva

**7 bis, avenue de la Paix, Case postale No. 2300****CH-1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland**

13:30- 17:30	Financial performance of the year 2009/2010 Budget and action plan for the year 2010/2011 Any other items with the permission of the Chair
--------------	--

**ANNEX III****PERFORMANCE INDICATOR**

Performance Indicator	Performance Measure			Target	Achieved	Target
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10	2010-2011
Policy Series and IFM Tools Series						
Number of Policy/IFM Tools Developed	3	4	2	5	3	5
Number of Policy/IFM Tools Distributed	940	2,106	1,659	1,000	1,332	1,000
National and Regional Support Activities (Get Help)						
Number of Support Activities entered into					10	12
Number of Support Activities completed					4	10
Capacity Building						
Number of courses held	1	4	3	4	6	5
Number of people trained	10	-	63		127	60
Flood Management Reference Centre						
Number of new entries	692	145	15	100	31	100
Dissemination of Information						
Number of newsletters prepared	3	3	3	3	3	3
Number of conferences attended	3	4	3	3	3	1
Number of websites Hits	-	26,161	49,803	50,000	50,361	50,000



**ANNEX IV****FINANCIAL STATEMENT AS OF 31 MARCH 2010****APFM TRUST FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENT  
(as of 31 March 2010)****1. Income and Expenditure from April 2009 to March 2010**

	CHF
1-1. Opening balance	<u>194,883 (a)</u>
1-2. Income	
Contributions	548,009
Interest	299
<b>Total Income</b>	<b><u>548,308 (b)</u></b>
1-3. Expenditure (including support costs)	
Actual Expenditure (Liquidated)	337,896
Unliquidated (Obligation)	-16,963
Requisition (Future Obligation)	-6,124
<b>Total Expenditure</b>	<b><u>314,809 (c)</u></b>
1-4. Carry forward from this period	<b>(a)+(b)-(c) <u>428,382</u></b>

*Certified*

*[Signature]*

140410

**BUDGET PLAN FOR THE YEAR 2010 TO 2011 (REVISED)**

**APFM Budget Plan for 2010-2011 (status on 31 March 2010)**

**1. Income (CHF) 1,088,382**

a) Carry-over from 09/10 period	428,382	
b) Expected Contribution from Japan	200,000	20'000'000 JPY
c) Expected Contribution from Swiss	90,000*	
d) Expected Contribution from WMO	370,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,088,382</b>	

Contribution from Japan (2009-2010, 38,500,000 JPY) : 448,090 CHF

\* Last instalment from Switzerland (50,000 CHF) is expected only after 31st March 2011 similar to FY 2009-2010.

Details of expected contribution from WMO are as below

a) WMO contribution by personnel^	215,000	
b) WMO contribution by logistics	60,000	eg. website maintenance and delivery costs
c) WMO contribution by activities	95,000	eg. activities including travel expense for meetings and trainings
<b>Total</b>	<b>370,000</b>	

**2. Expenditure (CHF)**

**a) From April 2010 to March 2011**

	Legend	APFM Trust Fund			Contribution from WMO	Total Budget for each category
		Japan	Swiss*	Total		
<b>1</b>	<b>Flood management policy series and IFM Tools</b>	<b>53,500</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>53,500</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>93,500</b>
	APFM Consultant/HWR Staff	43,500	0		20,000^	
1.1	Tools to develop IFM strategy in the field	10,000	0			
a	Flood proofing					
b	River restoration and wetland conservation					
c	Transboundary aspects					
d	Guidelines on flood hazard mapping					
e	Flash flood management					
f	additional tools				20,000	
<b>2</b>	<b>Capacity building</b>	<b>46,600</b>	<b>30,000</b>	<b>76,600</b>	<b>135,000</b>	<b>211,600</b>
	APFM Consultant/HWR staff	33,600	0		70,000^	
2.1	Training materials	3,000	7,000		40,000	
2.2	IFM Training	10,000	23,000		15,000+	
2.3	E-learning				10,000	
<b>3</b>	<b>Support national and regional activities</b>	<b>14,500</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>23,500</b>	<b>70,000</b>	<b>93,500</b>
	APFM Consultant/HWR staff	8,400	0		50,000^	
3.1	National and regional support activities	5,000	5,000		20,000	
3.2	APFM website	1,100	4,000			
3.3	Contingency					



	Legend	APFM Trust Fund			Contribution from WMO	Total Budget for each category
		Japan	Swiss*	Total		
<b>4</b>	<b>Dissemination of information</b>	<b>8,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>18,000</b>	<b>50,000</b>	<b>68,000</b>
	APFM Consultant/HWR staff	0	0		20,000^	
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Dissemination of materials</b>	0			20,000	
	Postage					
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Participation in conferences</b>	8,000	10,000		10,000	
	Davos 20,000 etc.					
<b>5</b>	<b>APFM secretariat and project administration</b>	<b>456,882</b>	<b>27,500</b>	<b>484,382</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>504,382</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>APFM secretariat</b>					
	a Secretariat and administration	18,000	18,000			
<b>5.2</b>	<b>APFM project administration</b>					
	a IFM HelpDesk events and activities	100,000	0		20,000	
	b Fund for demand-based activities	328,382	1,100			
	c WMO administrative cost	10,500	8,400			
<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>579,482</b>	<b>76,500</b>	<b>655,982</b>	<b>315,000</b>	<b>970,982</b>

## b) From April 2011 to June 2011

	Legend	APFM Trust Fund			Contribution from WMO	Total Budget for each category
		Japan	Swiss	Total		
<b>6</b>	<b>APFM secretariat and project administration</b>	<b>48,900</b>	<b>13,500</b>	<b>62,400</b>	<b>55,000</b>	<b>117,400</b>
	APFM Consultant/HWR Staff	27,000	0		55,000^	
<b>6.1</b>	<b>APFM secretariat</b>					
	a Secretariat and administration	4,500	4,500			
<b>6.2</b>	<b>APFM project administration</b>					
	a AC/MC Meeting	4,700	4,700			
	b Fund for demand-based activities	9,200	1,500			
	c WMO administrative cost	3,500	2,800			
<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>48,900</b>	<b>13,500</b>	<b>62,400</b>	<b>55,000</b>	<b>117,400</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>628,382</b>	<b>90,000</b>	<b>718,382</b>	<b>370,000</b>	<b>1,088,382</b>

(The carry over from 2009-2010) (428,382) (40,000)

^ This is the contribution by WMO including through regular staff in addition of one person with 50% from Government of Italy.

+ This includes the contribution by extra budget fund through WMO.



**AGREED ACTIVITY ITEMS FOR 2010/2011**

IFM Concept Paper (2.1)

- Translation in Chinese (GWP)

IFM Tools (2.2 and 3.1)

- Circulate the first draft of “River restoration and wetland conservation” tool to WWF and IUCN (GWP, APFM-TSU)
- Update tools that are most frequently downloaded (APFM-TSU)
- Place the Guidance document on the use of tools on the website (APFM-TSU)
- Develop five tools (Proofing, Restoration, Transboundary, Mapping and Flash Flood) (APFM-TSU)

National and Regional Support (2.3 and 3.2)

- Send the information on APFM activities to CWPs (GWP, APFM-TSU)
- Facilitate support in Kenya, Mali, Guinea, Zambezi River Basin, Ukraine (APFM-TSU) and others based on opportunities and available funding

Capacity Building (2.4, 2.6 and 3.3)

- Facilitate training in Mekong, HKH, South America, Mauritania, Uganda (APFM-TSU)
- Facilitate the collaboration with Hydrological Advisors (CHy and APFM-TSU)
- Linkage through GWP and Japan (APWF) for climate change adaptation (GWP, Japan and APFM-TSU)
- Develop a database of past trainees (APFM-TSU)
- Send APFM Newsletters to past trainees and all AC/MC members (APFM-TSU)

Database (2.5)

- Create a pop-up inquiry in downloading, on voluntary base to get user’s information (APFM-TSU)

HelpDesk (3.4)

- Prepare a brief flyer or brochure of the 10<sup>th</sup> anniversary of APFM (APFM-TSU)
- Linkage of the HelpDesk website with the home page of each Support Base Partner (APFM-TSU)
- Advocacy for COP 16 or World Water Week (APFM-TSU)
- 1 or 1 ½ day workshop of Support Base Partners around October/November 2010 (APFM-TSU)
- Finalize draft Constitution of AC/MC for adoption (APFM-TSU)

Outreach to potential donors



---

Contact both national and institutional donors and increase awareness of the success story of APFM and its further development and assistance potential with a view to obtain further donor support (APFM-TSU and GWP)